

Letters

Low-cost, constrained-motion dynamometer for milling force measurement [☆]

Michael Gomez ^{a,b,*}, Tony Schmitz ^{a,b}

^a University of Tennessee, Knoxville, 204A Dougherty Engineering Building, Knoxville TN 37996-2210, USA

^b Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Manufacturing Demonstration Facility, 2350 Cherahala Blvd. NTRC-3, Knoxville, TN 37932, USA



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 April 2020

Received in revised form 19 June 2020

Accepted 3 July 2020

Available online 7 July 2020

Keywords:

Milling

Force

Dynamics

In-process measurement

ABSTRACT

This paper describes the design and testing of a low-cost dynamometer for milling force measurement. The monolithic design is based on constrained-motion/flexure-based kinematics, where force is inferred from displacement measured using a low-cost optical interrupter (i.e., a knife edge that partially interrupts the beam in an emitter-detector pair). The time-dependent displacement of the dynamometer's moving platform caused by the milling force is converted to the frequency domain, multiplied by the inverse of the single degree of freedom frequency response, and converted back to the time domain to obtain the time dependent cutting force. Comparisons with commercially available, piezoelectric-based force measurement are provided.

© 2020 Society of Manufacturing Engineers (SME). Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The ability to measure and model cutting forces enables improved understanding of machining processes. Piezoelectric-based dynamometers currently provide the most common solution for cutting force measurement. However, the structural dynamics must be considered when operating with tooth passing frequencies near the dynamometer's multiple natural frequencies, where amplification of the local spectral content reduces accuracy. To mitigate this effect, prior research efforts have focused on two approaches. The first involves new dynamometer designs [1–9]. The second implements post-processing to remove the effect of the dynamometer's electro-mechanical “filtering” of the machining forces [10–13]. Despite these advancements, the widespread implementation of *in situ* cutting force measurement generally remains limited by cost and complexity.

In this paper, the design and testing of a low-cost dynamometer for milling force measurement is presented. The dynamometer design is based on constrained-motion/flexure-based kinematics. The ideally single degree of freedom (SDOF) structure is excited by the cutting force to produce small amplitude displacement, which is measured using a low-cost optical interrupter (i.e., a knife edge that partially interrupts the beam in an emitter-detector pair). The force is calculated from the measured displacement using the dynamometer's frequency response function (FRF). This structural deconvolution is carried out by filtering the frequency domain displacement using the inverted SDOF FRF for the constrained-motion dynamometer.

2. Methodology

There are five primary steps to obtaining the machining force using the constrained-motion dynamometer (CMD) [14]. These are summarized here.

1. Measure the ideally SDOF FRF for the CMD structure. In this study, the FRF was obtained using impact testing, where an instrumented hammer is used to excite the structure and the response is measured using a linear response sensor, such as a low-mass accelerometer. Ideally, the dynamometer should be mounted to the machine tool table since clamping (boundary) conditions can affect the dynamic response. Also, the test sample (to be machined) should be attached to the dynamometer because its mass affects the dynamometer's natural frequency.

[☆] Notice: This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the US Department of Energy (DOE). The US government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the US government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for US government purposes. DOE will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (<http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan>).

* Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Manufacturing Demonstration Facility, 2350 Cherahala Blvd. NTRC-3, Knoxville, TN 37932, USA.

E-mail addresses: gomezmf@ornl.gov (M. Gomez), tony.schmitz@utk.edu (T. Schmitz).




Fig. 1. CMD design and optical interrupter placement.

Table 1
Flexure leaf geometry.

Length, L (mm)	Width, b (mm)	Thickness, t (mm)	Radius, R (mm)
9	44.5	1.2	3.2

2. Machine the sample using the desired axial depth of cut, radial depth of cut, feed per tooth, and spindle speed for the selected endmill-holder combination. During material removal, measure the dynamometer motion using the optical interrupter. Because the interrupter infers motion from the voltage generated by the optical detector, a calibration step is required to relate the voltage to displacement. This is typically a nonlinear relationship that can be approximated as linear over a limited displacement range (small fraction of a millimeter). In the linear case, a single calibration coefficient is used for the voltage to displacement conversion.

3. Convert the time domain displacement to the frequency domain using the discrete Fourier transform (DFT). Due to the nature of the DFT, it is preferred to remove the transients at the cut entry and exit. The short-time Fourier transform is also an acceptable option.
4. Convert the frequency domain displacement to force using the inverted CMD FRF; see Eq. (1), where F is force, X is displacement, and ω is frequency. In practice, a low pass digital filter is convolved with the inverted FRF. This is because the inverted FRF magnitude grows with increasing frequency and would otherwise amplify any high frequency noise in the displacement signal. The filter cutoff frequency is set above the dynamometer's natural frequency.
5. Once the frequency domain force is known, convert it to the time domain using the inverse DFT. The time domain force signal is then available for traditional analysis techniques, such as fitting to extract cutting force coefficients [15] or magnitude tracking to evaluate tool wear.




Fig. 2. Optical knife edge sensor calibration. The displacement sensitivity was determined to be 80 $\mu\text{m}/\text{V}$ within the linear range of operation.




Fig. 3. Experimental setup showing the CMD, commercial dynamometer (Kistler 9257B), endmill, and workpieces.

Table 2

Tool description and cutting parameters for milling trials.

Diameter (mm)	Teeth	Material
19.05	1	PVD coated micro-grain carbide (Sandvik Coromant 390R-070204E-MM S30T)

Cutting parameters for down milling tests	
Spindle speed (rpm)	1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 5000, 6000
Feed per tooth (mm)	0.1
Axial depth (mm)	3
Radial depth (mm)	1.91 (10% radial immersion)
Trials	3

$$F(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ F \end{bmatrix}(\omega)^{-1} \cdot X(\omega) \quad (1)$$

3. Dynamometer design

A monolithic CMD was designed and constructed to demonstrate the concept by measuring milling forces over a range of spindle speeds (i.e., excitation frequencies). The design included a moving platform for workpiece mounting and four leaf-type flexure elements in an H-bar arrangement. In the H-bar design, the flexure elements guide the moving platform in the compliant direction and restrict motion in all other directions resulting in approximately linear SDOF motion. The emitter-detector was mounted on the base, while the knife edge was attached to the moving platform; see Fig. 1. The dynamometer was machined from 6061-T6 aluminum with an elastic modulus, E , of 69 GPa and a yield strength of 276 MPa. For the motion to remain elastic, the maximum acceptable stress for the flexure element design, σ_{max} , was selected to be 60% of the yield strength (160 MPa) [16]. The corresponding maximum allowable displacement was:

$$\delta_{max} = \frac{\sigma_{max} L^2}{3Et} \cong 50 \mu m, \quad (2)$$

where the leaf dimensions are provided in Table 1. Given δ_{max} , the dynamometer force range is ± 1500 N.

The optical interrupter was calibrated using a linear air bearing positioning stage (Aerotech ABL 10100-LT). The stage had a manufacturer-specified positioning uncertainty of $\pm 0.2 \mu m$ and resolution of 0.5 nm. For the calibration sequence, the knife edge was positioned outside the emitter-detector (ROHM RPI-0352E) range and moved towards the sensor in 1 μm steps until the full range was exceeded (i.e., the beam was fully blocked). It was




Fig. 4. Linear magnitude (a), phase (b), semi-logarithmic magnitude (c), and coherence (d) components of the CMD and tool FRFs.




Fig. 5. Inverted FRF and inverse filter used to determine force from measured displacement (a). Stability map for CMD using a 6061-T6 aluminum workpiece, one insert endmill, and 10% radial immersion down milling. The test points are identified by solid circles.




Fig. 6. Comparison of x and y direction cutting force signals for CMD (black dashed line) and Kistler 9257B (red solid line) for a spindle speed of 1000 rpm (a-b) and 6000 rpm (c-d), respectively. The distortion of the measured force signal by the Kistler 9257B dynamics is described using the measured force-to-force transmissibility FRFs for the dynamometer's x (H_{xx}) and y (H_{yy}) directions; see Fig. 7(a and b). Multiple modes are observed starting at approximately 700 Hz. The frequency content of the measured force has the expected peaks at the tooth passing frequency (100 Hz) and its harmonics. However, harmonics between 1000 Hz and 3000 Hz are artificially amplified by the dynamometer's vibration modes. To compensate for this amplification, the filtering technique described by Korkmaz *et al.* [12] was applied. The cutoff frequency, 1500 Hz, of the lowpass filter was selected such that the magnitude response of the final, inverse FRF filter is near unity at the limit of the dynamometer bandwidth, 5000 Hz. The filtered frequency content is displayed in Fig. 7(c-d), where the amplification has been effectively removed. Fig. 8 demonstrates good agreement between the compensated Kistler 9257B and CMD time domain cutting force results. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

observed that the optical interrupter had a resolution of less than 1 μm with a nonlinear range of 700 μm and a linear range of 170 μm . For the linear range, the displacement sensitivity was 80 $\mu\text{m}/\text{V}$; see Fig. 2. The linear range was used in this research.

4. Results

Machining trials were completed on a Haas TM-1 three-axis computer numerically controlled (CNC) milling machine. The




Fig. 7. Kistler 9257B force-to-force transmissibility FRF magnitudes for the x (a) and y (b) directions (black solid line) and force frequency content: measured (red solid) and compensated (blue dashed). Cutting force for compensated Kistler 9257B (red solid line) and CMD (black dashed) at a spindle speed of 6000 rpm for the x (c) and y (d) direction forces. Note that the scale matches Fig. 6. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)




Fig. 8. Mean peak x force (a) and y force (b) values for the CMD (gray), Kistler 9257B (red), and compensated Kistler 9257B (blue). Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

dynamometer was mounted on the machine table with the compliant direction aligned parallel to the machine's x direction. A 6061-T6 Al workpiece (0.565 kg) was mounted on the moving platform. With this setup, x and y direction force measurement is possible with no change in dynamometer orientation. To measure x force, the feed direction is x . To measure y force, the feed direction is y . The experimental setup is detailed in Fig. 3 and the tool description and cutting parameters are summarized in Table 2. The cutting parameters provided in Table 2 were used for milling trials completed on both the CMD and commercial dynamometer (Kistler 9257B) for comparison.

Impact testing results for the CMD are displayed in Fig. 4(a–d). For the compliant (x) direction, the natural frequency is 794 Hz, the stiffness is 2.6×10^7 N/m, and the viscous damping ratio is 0.0053 for the single mode. No significant modes were observed within the 5000 Hz measurement bandwidth for the stiff (y) direction. Similarly, there were no significant modes introduced by the tool.

Fig. 5(a) displays the inverse filter used to determine the force. A third-order Butterworth low pass filter (800 Hz cutoff frequency) was convolved with the inverted x direction FRF to avoid amplifying high frequency noise. Using the approach presented by Altintas and Budak [17], the stability map for the Table 2 cutting conditions

is provided in Fig. 5(b) along with the selected stable test locations. In this approach, the time varying coefficients of the dynamic milling equations, which depend on the angular orientation of the cutter as it rotates through the cut, are expanded into a Fourier series and then truncated to include only the average component to provide a time-invariant analytical solution.

Fig. 6 presents a comparison of the CMD and Kistler force measurements. Good agreement is observed in Fig. 6(a and b) due to the sufficiently low spindle speed and, consequently, tooth passing frequency (16.7 Hz). At the increased spindle speed in Fig. 6(c and d) (6000 rpm, 100 Hz), however, there is significant distortion of the Kistler force because the dynamometer is itself a dynamic system, its response can be excited by the tooth passing frequency and harmonics.

To further compare the two dynamometers, the steady-state, peak values for the time domain x and y direction forces were recorded over 150 revolutions at each spindle speed. The mean values and 95% confidence intervals are presented in Fig. 8. The overlapping error bars between the CMD and compensated Kistler 9257B values demonstrate statistical agreement and validate the new low-cost CMD performance.

5. Conclusions

Cutting force measurement is an effective method for monitoring machining performance. The force signal can be used to optimize machine tool usage by in-process tool wear evaluation, chatter detection, and force model development, for example. However, current commercially available solutions are costly and often require frequency domain signal post-processing to obtain accurate results.

This paper described an alternative low-cost constrained-motion dynamometer (CMD) for in-process milling force measurement. The dynamometer was a monolithic design with constrained-motion of a moving platform defined by four leaf-type flexure elements arranged in the traditional H-bar configuration. An optical interrupter (fixed emitter-detector pair with a moving knife edge to partially interrupt the beam) was used to measure the moving platform's motion during milling. The cutting force was calculated from the measured displacement using the dynamometer's frequency response function (FRF). A structural deconvolution procedure was followed to filter the frequency domain displacement using the inverted dynamometer FRF and calculate the time domain force. It has been shown that the CMD can be successfully used for accurate force measurement. The sensor selection, monolithic constrained-motion design, and companion structural deconvolution technique provides an innovative, low-cost, high fidelity cutting force dynamometer for use in both production and research environments.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE), Energy and Transportation Science Division and used resources at the Manufacturing Demonstration Facility, a DOE-EERE User Facility at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

References

- [1] Santochi M, Dini G, Tantussi G, Beghini M. A sensor-integrated tool for cutting force monitoring. *CIRP Ann* 1997;46(1):49–52.
- [2] Burton D, Duncan GS, Ziegert J, Schmitz T. High frequency, low force dynamometer for micro-milling force measurement. In: Proceedings of the 19th American Society for Precision Engineering Annual Meeting. p. 221–4.
- [3] Yaldiz S, Unsacar F, Haci S, Isik H. Design, development and testing of a four-component milling dynamometer for the measurement of cutting force and torque. *Mech Syst Sig Process* 2007;21:1499–511.
- [4] Byrne G, O'Donnell GE. An integrated force sensor solution for process monitoring of drilling operations. *CIRP Ann* 2007;56(1):89–92.
- [5] Transchel R, Stirnimann J, Blattner M, Bill B, Thiel R, Kuster F, et al. Effective dynamometer for measuring high dynamic process force signals in micro machining operations. *Procedia CIRP* 2012;1:558–62.
- [6] Gu GM, Shin YK, Son J, Kim J. Design and characterization of a photo-sensor based force measurement unit (FMU). *Sens Actuators, A* 2012;182:49–56.
- [7] Totis G, Adams O, Sortino M, Veselovac D, Klocke F. Development of an innovative plate dynamometer for advanced milling and drilling applications. *Measurement* 2014;49:164–81.
- [8] Ettrichratz M, Drossel W, Gebhardt S, Bucht A, Kranz B, Schneider J. Performance of a new piezoceramic thick film sensor for measurement and control of cutting forces during milling. *CIRP Ann* 2018;67(1):45–8.
- [9] Bleicher F, Schörghofer P, Habersohn C. In-process control with a sensory tool holder to avoid chatter. *J Mach Eng* 2018:18.
- [10] Altintas Y, Park SS. Dynamic compensation of spindle-integrated force sensors. *CIRP Ann* 2004;53(1):305–8.
- [11] Castro LR, Vieville P, Lipinski P. Correction of dynamic effects on force measurements made with piezoelectric dynamometers. *Int J Mach Tools Manuf* 2006;46:1707–15.
- [12] Korkmaz E, Gozen BA, Bediz B, Ozdoganlar OB. High-frequency compensation of dynamic distortions in micromachining force measurements. *Procedia Manuf* 2015;1:534–45.
- [13] Scippa A, Sallese L, Grossi N, Campatelli G. Improved dynamic compensation for accurate cutting force measurements in milling applications. *Mech Syst Sig Process* 2015;54–55:314–24.
- [14] Gomez MF, Schmitz T. Displacement-based dynamometer for milling force measurement. *Procedia Manuf* 2019;34:867–75.
- [15] Rubeo M, Schmitz T. Mechanistic force model coefficients: a comparison of linear regression and nonlinear optimization. *Precis Eng* 2016;45:311–21.
- [16] Smith ST. Flexures: elements of elastic mechanisms. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2000.
- [17] Altıntaş Y, Budak E. Analytical prediction of stability lobes in milling. *CIRP Ann* 1995;44(1):357–62.